			Coop 2:00 or 00270 CMC	Decument 17 Filed 04/1/	6/00 D <del>zwe-1(-0</del>			
				Document 17 Filed 04/10	FILED	LODGED		
WO	•			ATES DISTRICT C	OURT_ RECEIVED	) COPY		
			DIST	RICT OF ARIZONA	APR	1-6-2008		
	UNITE	D STA	ATES OF AMERICA v.	CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL TY				
	ļ	sidro [	Duran-Salazar	Case Number: (	)8-03102M-001			
In ac are e	cordance stablishe	with the d:	Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	142(f), a detention hearing has be	en held. I conclude that	the following facts		
			convincing evidence the defendant this case.	ant is a danger to the community	and require the detention	n of the defendant		
×		reponde this cas	e.	dant is a serious flight risk and req	uire the detention of the o	defendant pending		
_	(4)	<b>T</b>						
	(1)		The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is					
			a crime of violence as defined	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).				
			an offense for which the max	mum sentence is life imprisonme	nt or death.			
			an offense for which a maxim	um term of imprisonment of ten y	ears or more is prescrib	ed in		
			a felony that was committed a described in 18 U.S.C. § 3143	ofter the defendant had been conv 2(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	ricted of two or more price or local offenses.	or federal offenses		
	(2)	The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federa state or local offense.				trial for a federal,		
	(3)					ne defendant from		
	(4)	reasor	gs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish nably assure the safety of (an)o ed this presumption.	n a rebuttable presumption that no ther person(s) and the communit	condition or combinatio y. I further find that the	n of conditions will defendant has not		
				Alternative Findings				
	(1)	There	is probable cause to believe the	at the defendant has committed a	n offense			
			for which a maximum term of	imprisonment of ten years or mo	re is prescribed in	2		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)					
	(2)			presumption established by find appearance of the defendant as r				
				Alternative Findings				
$\boxtimes$	(1)	There	is a serious risk that the defend	ant will flee; no condition or comb	ination of conditions will	reasonably assure		

No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.

There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate

the appearance of the defendant as required.

a prospective witness or juror).

(2)

(3)

(4)

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (2.5 to 1.5 t

<sup>(</sup>c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

## 

## PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

—		01 11-1100110	
(Check	one or both	, as applicable.)	
(Onech	One or both	, as applicable.)	

(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:				
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.				
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
	If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
	The defendant lives and works in Mexico.				
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.				
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of				
	·				
The d	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:				
In add					
The d	efendant submitted the issue of detention.				
	Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the of the hearing in this matter.				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

## **PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

## PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>April 16, 2008</u>

MICHELLE H. BURNS United States Magistrate Judge